Endoscopic Ultrasound in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Upper Digestive Bleeding: a Useful Tool
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INTRODUCTION

Acute gastrointestinal bleeding is a potentially life-threatening abdominal emergency that remains a common cause of hospitalization. Although 90% of the cases have a source that can be identified by upper and lower digestive tract endoscopy, there are other situations, called obscure gastrointestinal bleeding, in which the origin was missed or no active bleeding was found on the initial examination. Other endoscopic techniques, such as small bowel endoscopy, endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) or angiography can subsequently be used, but data concerning EUS are less individualised.

We review the situations in which EUS can be useful in the diagnosis or treatment of upper digestive bleeding. Several sections are presented concerning the Dieulafoy ulcer, portal hypertension, submucosal tumors, hemobilia and hemosuccus pancreaticus.

DIEULAFOY ULCER

This occurs when a submucosal arteriole of 1-2 mm in diameter penetrates the surface of the mucosa, sometimes causing severe bleeding. Finding the lesion can often be difficult because the small vessel cannot be always visible due to intermittent active bleeding. When the conventional methods of treatment fail, EUS can help in finding the vessel [1] and directing the therapy [2]. Rarely, the Dieulafoy ulcer can be mistaken for other vascular abnormalities, such as a splenic artery pseudoaneurysm. EUS can help in discriminating the real cause [3]. However, EUS practical usefulness in the Dieulafoy ulcer detection is limited.

PORTAL HYPERTENSION AND BLEEDING

Diagnosis of portal hypertension

EUS can visualise varices as anechoic vascular structures in the submucosa of the esophagus or stomach (Fig. 1A), and can sometimes identify ectopic varices situated in the...
Paraesophageal vessels are seen in the adventitia, outside the muscle layer, and they establish the connection with the superior vena cava via the azygos vein. Perforating vessels establish the connection between the esophageal varices and the paraesophageal veins (Fig. 1B). The portal gastropathy is visualised as a thickened submucosal and mucosal layer, with multiple small (2–3mm), round, anechoic structures, with Doppler signal in the submucosal layer. Dilatations of the azygos, portal, superior mesenteric vein and splenic vein have to be looked for at every occasion. Gastric varices appear as hypoechoic tortuous tubular structures in the submucosal layer (Fig. 2).

The radial and convex linear echoendoscopes are similar in the evaluation of perforating veins and paraesophageal veins, but the radial assessment provides better results in detecting palisade veins and pulsatile waves [4].

Despite the lower performance of EUS compared to endoscopy in the diagnosis of esophageal varices, the accuracy is nowadays similar with the use of newer echoendoscopes. However, being an expensive and time-consuming technique, EUS is not the preferred method [5]. Miniprobe, without balloon compression of the varices, have demonstrated better accuracy in variceal detection; they can also be used to identify the hematocystic spots on the surface of esophageal varices, appearing like saccular projections suggestive for focal weakness of the esophageal wall [6].

Gastric varices are sometimes mistaken for large gastric folds or submucosal tumors and EUS helps in differentiating them. Using EUS as a gold standard for evaluation of the presence of gastric varices, the sensitivity and specificity value for conventional endoscopy are only 44% and 94%, respectively [7]. An EUS study on the origin of gastric varices showed that the feeding vein for the junctional type of gastric varices was mainly the left gastric vein, whereas the gastric varices of fundic type were fed by the short gastric vein [8].

Apart from differential diagnosis of gastric varices, the clinical use of EUS for portal hypertension diagnosis is very limited.

Esophageal varices

Estimation of bleeding risk

It was shown that a cumulative cross-sectional surface area of the varices of 0.45 cm² predicted the bleeding with a sensitivity of 83% and a specificity of 75%. There was a 76-fold increase per year in the risk of future variceal bleeding for each cm² increase in the variceal cross-sectional area. However, no correlation was found between the endoscopic grading of esophageal varices and future bleeding [7].

The direct portal vein pressure measurement by variceal puncture under EUS guidance, postulated as a predictor of bleeding, was safely performed in pigs [9], but in humans it is considered unethical. As a result, the indirect measurement of intravariceal pressure has been developed.

An experimental study showed that each varix had a different intravariceal pressure, which correlated well with the intravariceal radius, but not with the wall thickness [10].

Prediction of variceal bleeding recurrence

Large paraesophageal and paragastric varices after ligation (5 mm or greater), high velocity of anterior branches and a left gastric vein may be risk factors for variceal hemorrhage [11]. The rebleeding rate after EUS sclerotherapy was similar with that after conventional endoscopic sclerotherapy, although variceal recurrence occurred later and less frequently in the EUS-guided group [12].

Gastric varices

The EUS study of gastric varices showed that blood flow velocity is higher in bleeding varices as compared to non-bleeding varices, and the variceal wall is thinner in bleeding varices [13].

Several studies were performed using preferably cyanoacrylate injection in the perforating veins, the rate of total obliteration was successful in all five cases, with a mean number
of sessions of 1.6 [14]. Repeated injections of cyanoacrylate gave better results in terms of late recurrent bleeding than on demand injection in response to recurrent bleeding (18.5% vs 44.7%) [15]. However, coil embolization delivered by EUS seems to be very promising. Under EUS and fluoroscopy guidance, stainless steel, synthetic-fiber coils of 0.035 inch diameter were deployed through a 19-gauge needle into the perforating feeding vein, with the aim of forming a mesh to block the flow of blood [16]. The combination of cyanoacrylate injection and coil embolization showed good results in a larger study [17]. A severe complication due to cyanoacrylate diffusion into the hepatic artery has been reported [18].

Balloon-occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration for the management of gastric varices was performed in 39 cirrhotic patients and esophageal varices recurrence was evaluated. The presence of esophageal varices, a higher Child-Pugh class and a higher resistance index assessed by EUS before the procedure were significant risk factors for the worsening of esophageal varices after obliteration [19]. An assessment of gastric vessels before devascularisation surgery for isolated gastric varices seemed highly effective [20].

**Duodenal and ectopic varices**

EUS can identify duodenal varices as the cause of obscure bleeding after liver transplantation [21]. Direct EUS-guided injection with thrombin or cyanoacrylate were used as a therapeutic procedure. Microcoil injection in anastomotic varices after total pancreatectomy with good short-term outcome was reported [22].

**SUBMUCOSAL NEOPLASMS**

EUS guided fine needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) represents a useful method for the diagnosis of submucosal neoplasms, although recent studies have shown its low accuracy [23]. Sometimes they are associated with extraluminal bleeding with spontaneous hemoperitoneum or they mimic a pancreatic cyst; in these cases, EUS can establish the diagnosis [24] (Fig. 3). Cyanoacrylate injection of an actively bleeding GIST of the proximal stomach was reported to have a good outcome [2], but this technique is reserved for patients who cannot have surgery.

**WIRSUNGORRHAGIA**

Wirsungorrhagia occurs intermittently and can be caused by a pancreatic benign or malignant neoplasm, chronic pancreatitis with lithiasis or pseudoaneurysms, or a ruptured arterial aneurysm [25]. EUS plays an important role when the cause of the digestive bleeding is not established.

The pseudoaneurysm may originate from the left gastric artery, splenic artery, right hepatic artery, gastroduodenal artery and pancreaticoduodenal artery [26] (Fig. 4). The EUS image of the pseudoaneurysm is that of a cystic lesion with a Doppler signal; sometimes, a pseudoaneurysm can be found to communicate with a blood vessel. The treatment alternatives are transcatheter arterial embolization, injection with N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate [27] or surgery, but 99% of alcohol EUS-guided injections in pancreatic pseudoaneurysms have been reported to have a successful outcome [2].

**HEMOBILIA**

Hemobilia is caused usually by medical invasive procedures (percutaneous biopsies, transhepatic cholangiographies or self expanding metal biliary stents placed during ERCP) or by abdominal trauma. Other causes could be gallbladder and bile duct stones, biliary varices, benign and malignant tumors involving the biliary tree, liver surgery (including transplantation), congenital or acquired vascular aneurysms. The main symptom is jaundice, and sometimes blood clots
drain externally or are cleared endoscopically. During CT or MRI a filling defect becomes apparent in the biliary tree and, on endoscopy, blood and/or a clot surround the duodenal papilla. Cases of hepatic artery pseudoaneurysms with hemobilia identified by EUS have been rarely reported [28]. Hemobilia can be seen in EUS as an enlarged inhomogeneous common bile duct, without a Doppler signal, and the origin of the bleeding can be identified as a biliary tumor, biliary stone or vascular anomaly [29] (Fig. 5).

POST-THERAPEUTIC BLEEDING

Doppler US miniprobe were used in eight patients for assessing the bleeding sites after submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer and coagulation was applied until the Doppler signal disappeared. No delayed bleeding was seen in one month follow-up [30]. Also, EUS assessment of submucosal vessels might predict the bleeding during submucosal dissection for early gastric cancer [31].

CONCLUSION

EUS can be of great help in diagnosing the cause of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding and the rational selection of the patients is mandatory. Although there are still limitations of the actual devices and accessories, the EUS has to be considered among the endoscopic and radiologic tools.
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